UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

KENNETH E. HORTON KIRTON & MCCONKLE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SUITE 1800 SALTLAKE CITY UT 84111

COPY MAILED

OCT 2 5 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of : Joshi et al. :

Application No. 10/618,113 : DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: 11 July, 2003 : Atty Docket No. 11948.21 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 13 October, 2005, under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on 2 June, 2005, for failure to file a proper reply to the final Office action mailed on 1 February, 2005, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. On 27 May, 2005, petitioners filed an

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 3 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Agrantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

amendment after final rejection and a one (1) month extension of time. The amendment did not place the case in condition for allowance, however, and an Advisory Action was mailed on 14 September, 2005. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 15 September, 2005.

Petitioners have filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and have indicated that the previously filed amendment (a copy has been provided with the petition) is the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2891 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions